

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,278	10/673,278 09/30/2003		Naoki Nakane	11-189	4730
23400	7590	12/09/2004		EXAMINER	
POSZ &		· ·	DAVIS, OCTAVIA L		
11250 ROGER BACON DRIVE SUITE 10				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON,	VA 201	20190		2855	
				DATE MAILED: 12/09/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/673,278	NAKANE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Octavia Davis	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9/23</u>	3/04.					
	—— s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 12-17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,5,6,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-4,7,8 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. Seë 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationty documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laidlaw et al in view of Goto et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Laidlaw et al disclose a torque sensing device comprising a first shaft 14 and a second shaft 16 connected coaxially, a torsion bar 18 converting a torque applied between said first shaft and said second shaft into a torsion displacement, a multi-polar magnet 20 fixed to said first shaft, magnetic yokes 21 fixed to said second shaft and disposed in a magnetic field 70 generated by said multi-polar magnet (See Col. 3, lines 4 – 33), collecting rings 50, 52 disposed along an outer surface of said one set of magnetic yokes and opposed to each other via an air gap 46 in an axial direction (See Col. 4, lines 3 – 7), and a magnetic sensor 48 for detecting the density of magnetic flux generated in said air gap (See Col. 3, lines 64 – 66), wherein a pair of flux brushes 42, 4 can include a bottom arcuate surface 54 that is adapted to magnetically engage the arcuate outer surface 56 of the flux rings (See Col. 4, lines 12 – 18) but does not disclose an outer cylindrical surface of one of the collective rings being surrounded by a magnetic shield. However, Goto et al disclose a relative rotational position detection apparatus

Application/Control Number: 10/673,278 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

comprising an outer cylindrical section 11 having a magnetic shielding property (See Col. 6, lines 58 - 59).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Laidlaw et al according to the teachings of Goto et al for the purpose of, utilizing the degree of the overlap between open windows and nonmagnetic windows of an inner cylindrical section to determine the impedance of coils (See Goto et al, Col. 6, lines 59-63).

Regarding claim 6, in Laidlaw et al, the torque sensor is used in connection with a power steering apparatus (See Col. 5, lines 16 – 22).

Regarding claim 9, in Laidlaw et al, the magnetic sensor 48 includes a plurality of sensors disposed in parallel to each other with respect to a direction of magnetic flux (See Cols. 3 and 4, lines 66 - 67 and 1 - 2).

Regarding claim 10, in Laidlaw et al, the magnetic sensor 48 is disposed in the air gap 46.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-4, 7, 8 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 12 - 17 are allowed.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/673,278 Page 4

Art Unit: 2855

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 4.

disclosure.

Garshelis (5,351,555) discloses a circularly magnetized non-contact torque sensor and

method for measuring torque using the same.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Octavia Davis at 5.

telephone number (571) 272 - 2176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursdays

(9:00 - 5:00), Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz, can be reached on (571) 272 - 2180. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872 - 9306.

OD/2855

12/1/04

NOLOGY CENTER 2800